arXiv:0807.1170v1 [math.AG] 8 Jul 2008

The Chow group of zero-cycles on certain Châtelet surfaces over local fields

Supriya Pisolkar

1 Introduction

Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (p prime). By a Châtelet surface X over K we mean a smooth projective surface K-birational to a surface given by the equation:

$$y^2 - dz^2 = f(x) \tag{1}$$

where $f(x)$ is a monic cubic polynomial in x with coefficients in K. Our main aim is to compute the Chow group $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ of 0-cycles of degree zero modulo rational equivalence on such surfaces. The case where $f(x)$ splits into three linear factors has been considered in [8] and [9]. In this paper we consider the remaining cases, in which $f(x)$ is either irreducible or of the form $x(x^2-e)$, where $e \in \mathrm{K}^*$ is not a square.

If $d \in \mathrm{K}^{*2}$, then the Châtelet surface defined by the equation $y^2 - dz^2 = x(x^2-e)$ is K-birational to $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathrm{K}}$. In fact, in this case the function field of this surface is $\mathrm{K}(x,u)$, where $u = y + \sqrt{d}z$. By ([2], Prop. 6.1), $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathrm{K}})_0 = 0$. Since $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ is a birational invariant of a smooth projective geometrically integral surface ([2], Prop. 6.3), we get that $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ is zero. Thus, we may assume that $d \notin \mathrm{K}^{*2}$.

The main results of this paper are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* X *be a* Châtelet *surface given by the equation* $y^2 - dz^2 = x(x^2-e)$. *Let* $\mathrm{L} = \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{d})$ *and* $\mathrm{E} = \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{e})$. *If* L *and* E *are isomorphic extensions of* K, *then* $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 = \{0\}$.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that* $p \neq 2$. *Assume that the quadratic extensions* $\mathrm{L} = \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{d})$ *and* $\mathrm{E} = \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{e})$ *are not isomorphic. Then* $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{K} = \mathbb{Q}_2$. *Assume that* $\mathrm{L} = \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{d})$ *and* $\mathrm{E} = \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{e})$ *are non-isomorphic quadratic extensions of* K.

(1) *Supppose that* L/K *is unramified. Then the group* $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ *is isomorphic to*

(i) $\{0\}$ *if* $\upsilon_{\mathrm{K}}(e) \equiv 0 \pmod 4$.

(ii) $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ *if* $\upsilon_{\mathrm{K}}(e) \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$.

(2) *Suppose that* L/K *is a ramified extension. Then* $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* X *be a Châtelet surface* K*-birational to* $y^2 - dz^2 = f(x)$ *where* $f(x)$ *is an irreducible monic cubic polynomial in* x *with coefficients in* K. *Then* $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 = \{0\}$

Acknowledgement: I am thankful to Prof. C. S. Dalawat for his help. This problem was suggested to me by him. I am indebted to Joël Riou for painstakingly going through the first draft of this paper and for important suggestions. I am grateful to Amit Hogadi for his interest and stimulating discussions. I thank Maneesh Thakur for his encouragement.

2 The method of computation

Let $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{X}_{d,e}$ denote the Châtelet surface corresponding to the equation

$$y^2 - dz^2 = x(x^2 - e)$$

Let π be a uniformiser of K. The change of variables $x = \pi^2 x'$, $y = \pi^3 y'$, $z = \pi^3 z'$ gives us

$$\mathrm{X}_{d,e'} : y'^2 - dz'^2 = x'(x'^2 - e')$$

where $e' = \pi^{-4} e$. Thus it is enough to consider the cases $\upsilon_{\mathrm{K}}(e) = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Moreover, using yet another transformation $z \mapsto \lambda z$ for a suitable $\lambda \in \mathrm{K}^*$, it is clear that we need only to consider the cases $\upsilon_{\mathrm{K}}(d) = 0, 1$.

Let $\mathrm{CH}_0(\mathrm{X})$ = Chow group of zero cycles on X modulo rational equivalence. $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 = \mathrm{Ker}\ (\mathrm{CH}_0(\mathrm{X}) \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathbb{Z})$.

We now describe a method due to Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc [4] which reduces the calculation of $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ to a purely number-theoretic question.

The surface X comes equipped with a morphism $f : \mathrm{X} \to \mathbb{P}_1$ whose fibres are conics. We denote by O the singular point of the fibre above ∞. By ([2] Théorème C), the map

$$\gamma : \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{K}) \to \mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0, \quad \gamma(\mathrm{Q}) = \mathrm{Q} - \mathrm{O},$$

is surjective. We also have a natural injection (see [4])

$$\phi : \mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{S}(\overline{\mathrm{K}})$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{K}}$ is an algebraic closure of K and S is the K-torus whose character group is the $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathrm{K}}/\mathrm{K})$-module $\mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathrm{X}})$ where $\overline{\mathrm{X}} = \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{K}} \overline{\mathrm{K}}$. Thus with the following indentifications (see [4])

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{S}(\overline{\mathrm{K}})) \to \mathrm{K}^*/\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L/K}}\mathrm{L}^* \times \mathrm{E}^*/\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LE/E}}\mathrm{LE}^* \to (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$$

the calculation of $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ reduces to computing the image of the composite map

$$\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{K}) \to \mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{S}(\overline{\mathrm{K}})) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

As all the points in the same fibre of the map $f : \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{K}) \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathrm{K})$ are mutually equivalent 0-cycles, what we have to compute is the image of the induced map $\chi : f(\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{K})) \to (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. The subset $f(\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{K})) \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathrm{K})$ is clearly equal to,

$$\mathrm{M} = \{x \in \mathrm{K}^* | x(x^2 - e) \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L/K}}\mathrm{L}^*\} \cup \{0\}.$$

The exact description of the map $\chi : \mathrm{M} \to (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ is given by (see [2], [14])

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} (\overline{x}\ ,\ (x - \sqrt{e})^-) & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ (-\overline{e}\ ,\ (-\sqrt{e})^-) & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases}$$

where the bar denotes the image in $\mathrm{K}^*/\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L/K}}\mathrm{L}^*$ and $\mathrm{E}^*/\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LE/E}}\mathrm{LE}^*$ respectively, both these quotients being identified with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. By using this map χ we will now prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By the above method, to show that $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 = \{0\}$, it is enough to show that $\chi(\mathrm{M}) = \{0\}$. As L and E are isomorphic, the extension LE/E is trivial. Thus the group $\mathrm{E}^*/\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LE/E}}\mathrm{LE}^*$ is trivial. Therefore for any $x \in \mathrm{M}$ we get $\chi(x) = (\overline{x}, 0)$. Since $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L/K}}\mathrm{L}^* = \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E/K}}\mathrm{E}^*$, $-e \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L/K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. Thus $\chi(0) = (0, 0)$. Now let $x \in \mathrm{M}\backslash\{0\}$. Note that $x^2 - e \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E/K}}\mathrm{E}^* = \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L/K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. This, together with the fact that $x(x^2 - e) \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L/K}}\mathrm{L}^*$, implies that $x \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L/K}}\mathrm{L}^*$ and $\chi(x) = (0, 0)$.

□

Before proving Theorem 1.2 we observe that $\chi(\mathrm{M})$ is contained in the diagonal subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ when L and E are non-isomorphic.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $\mathrm{L/K} = \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{\mathrm{d}})$ *and* $\mathrm{E/K} = \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{e})$ *be non-isomorphic quadratic extensions. Then* $\chi(M)$ *is contained in the diagonal subgroup of* $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. *In particular,* $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ *is either* $\{0\}$ *or* $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By class field theory (see [16], p. 212) we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{E}^*/\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LE/E}}\mathrm{LE}^* \arrow[r, "\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E/K}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{rec}"'] & \mathrm{K}^*/\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L/K}}\mathrm{L}^* \arrow[d, "\mathrm{rec}"] \\
\mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{LE/E}) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{L/K})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical maps are isomorphisms. The map $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{LE}/\mathrm{E}) \to \mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K})$ is an ismorphism since L and E are linearly disjoint. Thus $\mathrm{E}^*/\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LE}/\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{LE}^* \to \mathrm{K}^*/\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$ is an isomorphism, i.e. an element $t \in \mathrm{E}^*$ belongs to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LE}/\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{LE}^*$ if and only if $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}/\mathrm{K}}(t)$ belongs to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. Therefore, for any $x \in \mathrm{M}\backslash\{0\}$, $x \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$ if and only if $x - \sqrt{e} \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LE}/\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{LE}^*$. Thus $\chi(x) = (0,0)$ or $(1,1)$. Similarly, $\chi(0) = (-e, -\sqrt{e}) = (0,0)$ or $(1,1)$ depending upon whether $-e \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$ or $-e \notin \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. This shows that $\chi(\mathrm{M})$ is contained in the diagonal subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

□

Corollary 2.2. *The group* $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ *if and only if at least one of the following condition holds.*

(i) $-e \notin \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$.

(ii) There exists $x \in \mathrm{K}^*$, *such that* $x \notin \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$ *and* $x^2 - e \notin \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. Throughout this section let p denote an odd prime and let K denote a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* F/K *be a quadratic extension of* K.

(i) If F/K *is unramified, then an element* $x \in \mathrm{K}^*$ *belongs to* $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{F}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{F}^*$ *if and only if* $v_{\mathrm{K}}(x)$ *is even.*

(ii) If F/K *is ramified,* π_{F} *is a uniformiser of* F *and* $\pi_{\mathrm{K}} = \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{F}/\mathrm{K}}(\pi_{\mathrm{F}})$, *then* $x \in \mathrm{K}^*$ *belongs to* $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{F}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{F}^*$ *if and only if* $x/\pi_{\mathrm{K}}^{v_{\mathrm{K}}(x)}$ *is a square.*

Proof. (i) It is easy to see that $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{F}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{F}^*$ is contained in the subgroup of elements of even valuation. Since both these subgroups are of index two in K^*, they are equal.
(ii) Let N' be the subgroup of all elements $x \in \mathrm{K}^*$ such that $x/\pi_{\mathrm{K}}^{v_{\mathrm{K}}(x)}$ is a square. Using the fact that π_{K} belongs to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{F}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{F}^*$, it is clear that we have $\mathrm{N}' \subset \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{F}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{F}^*$. Since N' and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{F}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{F}^*$ are index-two subgroups of K^*, they must be equal.

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let* K *be a finite extension of* $\mathbb{Q}_p$ *where* p *is an odd prime. Suppose that* $e \in \mathrm{K}^*$ *is not a square. Then* $\mathrm{E} = \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{e})$ *is a ramified extension of* K *if and only if* $v_{\mathrm{K}}(e)$ *is odd.*

Proof. If $v_{\mathrm{K}}(e)$ is odd, then we make the reduction to the case where $v_{\mathrm{K}}(e) = 1$ by multplying e by a square. It is clear that E is ramified when $v_{\mathrm{K}}(e) = 1$. Now suppose $v_{\mathrm{K}}(e)$ is even. We may assume that e is a unit by modifying e by a square. Since p is odd, the polynomial $T^2 - e$ is separable over the residue field, and hence irreducible in the residue field. Thus E/K is unramified in this case.

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We split the proof into following two cases. To show that $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, by lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that there exists an $x \in \mathrm{M}$ such that $\chi(x) = (1,1)$ in each of these cases.

Case (1) : E is unramified over K.
In this case L/K, being non-isomorphic to E/K, is a ramified extension. If $-e \notin \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$ then $\chi(0) = (1,1)$ and we are done. Suppose that $-e \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. Let us first show that $e \notin \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. Indeed, as $\mathrm{E} = \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{e})$ is the unramified quadratic extension, $v_{\mathrm{K}}(e)$ is even by Lemma 3.2 and the unit $e\pi^{-v_{\mathrm{K}}(e)}$ is not a square for any uniformiser π of K. Lemma 3.1(ii) now implies that $e \notin \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. Hence $-1 \notin \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$; in particular, -1 is not a square.
Write $-1 = x^2 - ey^2$ for some $x, y \in \mathrm{K}$. This is possible because $-1 \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{E}^*$ by Lemma 3.1(i). Clearly $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$, because neither -1 nor e is a square. Put $\alpha = x/y$. Replacing α by $-\alpha$ if necessary, we may assume that $\alpha \notin \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. Moreover, $\alpha^2 - e \notin \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$ because $\alpha^2 - e = -1/y^2$ and $-1 \notin \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. It follows that $\alpha(\alpha^2 - e) \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. Thus $\alpha \in \mathrm{M}$ and as $\chi(\alpha) = (1,1)$ we are done.

Case (2) : E is ramified over K.
We will show that $\chi(0) = (1,1)$. As E/K and L/K are quadratic extensions, by local class field theory, their norm subgroups $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{E}^*$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$ are two index-two subgroups of K^*. These two subgroups are not equal as E and L are not isomorphic. Then, their intersection must be an index-four subgroup of K^*. Since $(\mathrm{K}^*)^2 \subset \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{E}^* \cap \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$ is also an index four subgroup of K^*, we get $(\mathrm{K}^*)^2 = \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{E}^* \cap \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. We know that $-e = \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}/\mathrm{K}}(\sqrt{e}) \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{E}^*$. If $-e \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$ then $-e$ would be a square. This contradicts the fact (by Lemma 3.2) that $v_{\mathrm{K}}(e) = v_{\mathrm{K}}(-e)$ is odd. Thus $-e \notin \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$ and $\chi(0) = (1,1)$.

$\square$

4 Preliminaries on Hilbert symbols

In this section we review the notion of Hilbert symbol as given in [15].

Let K be a field. Let $a, b \in \mathrm{K}^*$. We put $(a,b)_{\mathrm{K}} = 1$ if $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$ has a solution $(x,y) \in \mathrm{K}^2$ and $(a,b)_{\mathrm{K}} = -1$ otherwise. The number $(a,b)_{\mathrm{K}}$ is called the **Hilbert symbol** of a and b relative to the field K. It can be shown that the Hilbert symbol has the following properties.

1. $(a,b)_{\mathrm{K}} = (b,a)_{\mathrm{K}}$ (Symmetry).

2. $(ab, c)_{\mathrm{K}} = (a, c)_{\mathrm{K}} \cdot (b, c)_{\mathrm{K}}$ (Bilinearity).

3. $(a^2, b)_{\mathrm{K}} = 1$.

4. $(a, 1-a)_{\mathrm{K}} = 1$ for $a \neq 1$.

Thus the Hilbert symbol can be thought of as a symmetric bilinear form on the $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space $\mathrm{K}^*/\mathrm{K}^{*2}$ with values in the group $\{1, -1\}$.

The following proposition gives an equivalent definition of the Hilbert symbol.

Proposition 4.1. ([15], p. 19) *Let $a, b \in \mathrm{K}^*$ and let $\mathrm{K}_b = \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{b})$. Then $(a, b)_{\mathrm{K}} = 1$ if and only if $a \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{K}_b/\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{K}_b^*)$.*

When $\mathrm{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ we denote the Hilbert symbol by $(a, b)_p$ instead of $(a, b)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

We now describe a formula for calculating the Hilbert symbol when $\mathrm{K} = \mathbb{Q}_2$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_2^*$ be the group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathrm{U}_3 = 1 + 8\mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $\varepsilon, \omega : \mathbb{Z}_2^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ be the homomorphisms given by

$$\varepsilon(z) = \frac{z-1}{2} (\text{mod } 2), \qquad \omega(z) = \frac{z^2-1}{8} (\text{mod } 2).$$

Put $a = 2^\alpha u, b = 2^\beta v$ where u and v are units. Then, according to ([15], p. 20),

$$(a, b)_2 = (-1)^{\varepsilon(u)\varepsilon(v) + \omega(v)\alpha + \omega(u)\beta}.$$

Using the above formula for the 2-adic Hilbert symbol, we prove the following lemma which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathrm{K} = \mathbb{Q}_2$. Let $e, d, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{E}$ be as in Theorem 1.3. Assume that $v_{\mathrm{K}}(d) = 1$. Then,*

(i) If $v_{\mathrm{K}}(e) = 1$, then at least one of the elements $-1,\ 1-e,\ e$ does not belong to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$

(ii) If $v_{\mathrm{K}}(e) = 3$, then at least one of the elements $-1,\ (1-e/4),\ e$ does not belong to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$.

Proof. (*i*) Assume that all three elements $-1, 1-e, e$ belong to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. Then by Prop. 4.1, $(-1, d)_2 = (e, d)_2 = (1-e, d)_2 = 1$. Let $e = 2u$ and $d = 2v$ where $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^*$. We have,

$$(-1, d)_2 = (-1, 2v)_2 = (-1, 2)_2(-1, v)_2 = (-1)^{\varepsilon(v)}.$$

As $(-1, d)_2 = 1$ by assumption, we have

$$\varepsilon(v) = 0 \text{ and thus } v \equiv 1 \ (\text{mod } 4). \tag{2}$$

Also,

$$(1-e,d)_2 = (1-2u,2v)_2 = (-1)^{\varepsilon(1-2u)\varepsilon(v)+\omega(1-2u)}$$

As $\varepsilon(v)=0$ and by assumption $(1-e,d)_2=1$, we get the following

$$\omega(1-2u)=0 \text{ and } u \equiv 1 (\bmod 4). \tag{3}$$

Now,

$$(e,d)_2 = (2u,2v)_2 = (-1)^{\varepsilon(u)\varepsilon(v)+\omega(v)+\omega(u)}$$

As $\varepsilon(v)=0$ and $(e,d)_2=1$ by assumption, we get $\omega(u)=\omega(v)$. Since both u,v are congruent to 1 modulo 4 by (2) and (3), one can check that $u \equiv v (\bmod 8)$. As any element of U_3 is a square, we get that u and v differ by a square unit. Thus the extensions $\mathrm{L}=\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{2v})$ and $\mathrm{E}=\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{2u})$ are isomorphic. This contradicts the hypothesis that L and E are non-isomorphic extensions of K.

(ii) Since the proof of this case is similar to the one above, we only give a sketch. Assume that all three elements $-1,(1-e/4),e$ belong to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. Let $e=2^3u$ and $d=2v$ where $u,v\in\mathbb{Z}_2^*$. As in (i), using $(-1,d)_2=1$ we get $\varepsilon(v)=0$ and thus $v\equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Similarly $(1-e/4,d)_2=1$ gives $\omega(1-2u)=1$ and thus $u\equiv 1 \bmod 4$. By properties 2. and 3. of the Hilbert symbol mentioned earlier, we deduce that

$$(e,d)_2 = (2^3u,2v)_2 = (2u,2v)_2$$

Thus $(e,d)_2=1$ gives $\omega(u)=\omega(v)=1$. As in (i), we arrive at a contradiction by showing that L and E are isomorphic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$.

□

5 Some results on ramified quadratic extension

Throughout this section K will denote a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$. Let L/K be a ramified quadratic extension. Let k be the residue field of K. Since L/K is totally ramified, k is also the residue field of L. For $i\geq 0$, let $\mathrm{U}_{i,\mathrm{L}}=\{x\in \mathrm{U}_\mathrm{L} | v_\mathrm{L}(1-x)\geq i\}$. The subgroups $\{\mathrm{U}_{i,\mathrm{L}}\}_{i\geq 0}$ define a decreasing filtration of U_L. Similarly we define $\mathrm{U}_{i,\mathrm{K}}$.

Theorem 5.1. ([10], III.1.4) *Let π_L be a uniformiser of* L. *Let σ be the generator of* Gal(L/K). *Then $\frac{\sigma(\pi_\mathrm{L})}{\pi_\mathrm{L}}\in\mathrm{U}_{1,\mathrm{L}}$. Further, if s is the largest integer such that $\frac{\sigma(\pi_\mathrm{L})}{\pi_\mathrm{L}}\in\mathrm{U}_{s,\mathrm{L}}$, then s is independent of the uniformiser π_L.*

Thus the integer s defined above depends only on the extension L/K. Therefore we will denote it by $s(\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K})$.

Theorem 5.2. ([10], III.2.3) *Let e_K be the ramification index of* K *over* $\mathbb{Q}_2$. *Then $s(\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K})\leq 2e_\mathrm{K}$.*

Remark 5.3. When $\mathrm{K} = \mathbb{Q}_2$, K has six ramified quadratic extensions, namely $\mathrm{K}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{-5}), \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{\pm 2}), \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{\pm 10})$. For the first two extensions $s = 1$ and for the remaining extensions $s = 2$.

Theorem 5.4. ([10],III.1.5) *Let* L, K *be as above. Assume that* $k = \mathbb{F}_2$. *Let* $s = s(\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K})$. *Choose a uniformiser* π_{L} *of* L. *Let* $\pi_{\mathrm{K}} = \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}(\pi_{\mathrm{L}})$. *Define*

$$\lambda_{i,\mathrm{L}} : \mathrm{U}_{i,\mathrm{L}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \ ; \quad \lambda_{i,\mathrm{L}}(1 + \theta\pi_{\mathrm{L}}^i) = \overline{\theta}$$

Similarly define $\lambda_{i,\mathrm{K}}$ *using the uniformiser* π_{K}. *Then*

1. *The following diagrams commute.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{U}_{i,\mathrm{L}} \arrow[r, "\lambda_{i,\mathrm{L}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}"'] & \mathbb{F}_2 \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Id}"] & \text{if } 1 \leq i < s \\
\mathrm{U}_{i,\mathrm{K}} \arrow[r, "\lambda_{i,\mathrm{K}}"] & \mathbb{F}_2 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{U}_{s,\mathrm{L}} \arrow[r, "\lambda_{s,\mathrm{L}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}"'] & \mathbb{F}_2 \arrow[d, "0"] \\
\mathrm{U}_{s,\mathrm{K}} \arrow[r, "\lambda_{s,\mathrm{K}}"] & \mathbb{F}_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{U}_{s+2i,\mathrm{L}} \arrow[r, "\lambda_{s+2i,\mathrm{L}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}"'] & \mathbb{F}_2 \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Id}"] & \text{if } i > 0 \\
\mathrm{U}_{s+i,\mathrm{K}} \arrow[r, "\lambda_{s+i,\mathrm{K}}"] & \mathbb{F}_2 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

2. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{U}_{s+i,\mathrm{L}}) = \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{U}_{s+i+1,\mathrm{L}})$ *for* $i > 0$, $p \nmid i$.

3. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{U}_{s+1,\mathrm{L}}) = \mathrm{U}_{s+1,\mathrm{K}}$.

The following corollary is an easy consequence of the above theorem. However part (*iii*) of the corollary will play an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 5.5. *With the notation as above,* $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{U}_{i,\mathrm{L}}) \subset \mathrm{U}_{i,\mathrm{K}}$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq s+1$. *Thus for* $i \leq s$, *we have induced maps*

$$\mathrm{N}^i_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}} : \frac{\mathrm{U}_{i,\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{U}_{i+1,\mathrm{L}}} \to \frac{\mathrm{U}_{i,\mathrm{K}}}{\mathrm{U}_{i+1,\mathrm{K}}}.$$

Further,

(*i*) $\mathrm{N}^i_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}$ *is an isomorphism for* $1 \leq i < s$.

(ii) $N^s_{L/K}$ *is the zero map.*

(iii) $\gamma \in U_{s,K} \backslash U_{s+1,K} \implies \gamma \notin N_{L/K}L^*$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are immediate consequences of the first two commutative diagrams in Theorem 5.4 and the fact that $U_{i+1,L}$ is the kernel of $\lambda_{i,L}$. Suppose that $\gamma \in U_{s,K} \backslash U_{s+1,K}$. This gives that $\lambda_{s,K}(\gamma) \neq 0$ and thus the second commutative diagram in 5.4 tells us that $\gamma \notin N_{L/K}(U_{s,L})$. Now we want to show that for $i \neq s$ and for any $x \in U_{i,L} \backslash U_{i+1,L}$, $N_{L/K}(x) \neq \gamma$. For $i < s$ this is easy to see by (i) above. For $i > s$, 5.4(3) implies that $N_{L/K}(x) \in U_{s+1,K}$. Thus $\gamma \neq N_{L/K}(x)$.

□

6 The proof of Theorem 1.3

We first state the following lemma from [16].

Lemma 6.1. ([16], p. 212) *Let* $K/\mathbb{Q}_2$ *be a finite extension. Let* e_K *be the ramification index of* $K/\mathbb{Q}_2$. *For every* $n > e_K$ *the map* $U_{n,K} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^2} U_{n+e_K,K}$ *is an isomorphism of* $\mathbb{Z}_2$*-modules.*

Now the proof of Theorem 1.3 will occupy the rest of this section. Henceforth $K = \mathbb{Q}_2$. For simplicity of notation we write $\mathbb{Z}_2^*$ instead of $U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$, U_n instead of $U_{n,\mathbb{Q}_2}$ and v instead of $v_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$. For any field extension $F/\mathbb{Q}_2$, we will write $N(F^*)$ instead of $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}_2}(F^*)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Recall from section 2 that it is enough to consider the cases $v(d) = 0, 1$ and $v(e) = 0, 1, 2$ or 3.
(1) L is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_2$.
Case: $v(e) = 0$: To show that $A_0(X)_0$ is zero, we have to show that $\chi(x) = 0$ for every $x \in M$. If $x \in M \backslash \{0\}$ such that $v(x) > 0$ then

$$v(x^2 - e) = \min\{2v(x), v(e)\} = 0.$$

If $x \in M \backslash \{0\}$ such that $v(x) < 0$ then

$$v(x^2 - e) = \min\{2v(x), v(e)\} = 2v(x).$$

Thus whenever $v(x) \neq 0$, $v(x^2 - e)$ is even and hence by Lemma 3.1, $x^2 - e \in N(L^*)$. Thus for any $x \in M \backslash \{0\}$ with $v(x) \neq 0$, $\chi(x) = (0, 0)$. If $x \in M$ such that $v(x) = 0$ then $x \in N(L^*)$ and thus $\chi(x) = (0, 0)$. We now claim that $\chi(0) = (0, 0)$. For this we need to show that $-e \in N(L^*)$. But this is clear since $v(-e) = 0$ and L is the unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$. This proves 1.3(1)(i).

Now we prove 1.3(1)(ii).

Case: $v(e) = 1$ or 3 : Choose $x \in \mathbb{Q}_2^*$ such that $v(x)$ is odd and $2v(x) > v(e)$. Then by Lemma 3.1, $x \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$ and $x^2 - e \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$. Therefore $x \in \mathrm{M}$ and $\chi(x) = (1,1)$ i.e $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Case: $v(e) = 2$: We claim that $\chi(2) = (1,1)$. Let $\beta = e/4$. Since e is not a square in $\mathbb{Q}_2$, β cannot be a square and thus $\beta \notin \mathrm{U}_3$. We now show that $\beta \notin \mathrm{U}_2$. Note that, an element $\alpha \in \mathrm{U}_2 \backslash \mathrm{U}_3$, can be written as $\alpha = 5.\gamma^2$ for some $\gamma \in \mathrm{U}_1$. Thus, $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5}) = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{\alpha})$, where $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$ is the uramified quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$. This implies that $\beta \notin \mathrm{U}_2$, since $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{\beta}) = \mathrm{E}$ is a ramified quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$. Thus $\beta \in \mathrm{U}_1 \backslash \mathrm{U}_2$ and $v(2^2 - e) = v(2^2(1-\beta)) = 3$. By Lemma 3.1(i), $2^2 - e \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$ and similarly $2 \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$. Therefore $2 \in \mathrm{M}$ and $\chi(2) = (1,1)$ i.e $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.
The proof of this case completes the proof of the Theorem 1.3(1).

(2) L **is ramified over** $\mathbb{Q}_2$
We first prove the following lemma which will be crucially used in the proof.

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{L}/\mathbb{Q}_2$ *is a ramified extension and either* -1 *or* e *does not belong to* $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. *Then* $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Suppose that $-1 \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$. Since L and E are non-isomorphic quadratic extensions, by class field theory we can choose $\alpha \in \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{E}^*)$ such that $\alpha \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$. Thus $\alpha = x^2 - ey^2$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_2$. Since $\alpha \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$, $y \neq 0$. If $x = 0$ then $-e \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*) \implies \chi(0) = (1,1) \implies \mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Thus we assume that $x \neq 0$. Now

$$\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^2 - e = \frac{\alpha}{y^2} \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$$

If $\frac{x}{y} \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$ then $\frac{x}{y} \in \mathrm{M}$ and $\chi(\frac{x}{y}) = (1,1)$. Otherwise since $-1 \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$, $-\frac{x}{y} \in \mathrm{M}$ and $\chi(-\frac{x}{y}) = (1,1)$.
Now suppose $e \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$. We may assume $-1 \in \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$ since otherwise we are done by the above case. Thus $-e \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$. Hence $\chi(0) = (1,1)$.

□

Now we prove the Theorem 1.3(2). The proof splits into two parts depending upon the invariant $s(\mathrm{L}/\mathbb{Q}_2)$ of the field extension. Since $\mathrm{L}/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is a ramified quadratic extension, $s(\mathrm{L}/\mathbb{Q}_2) = 1$ or 2, by Theorem 5.2. We want to show that $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, i.e., to show that there exists an element $x \in \mathrm{M}$ such that $\chi(x) = (1,1)$ in each of these cases.

Case $s(\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}) = 1$: It is clear that $-1 \in \mathrm{U}_1 \backslash \mathrm{U}_2$. Thus by Cor. 5.5(iii), $-1 \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$. Thus $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 6.2.

Case $s(\mathrm{L}/\mathrm{K}) = 2$: We may assume that -1 and e belong to $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L}^*)$, since otherwise we are done by Lemma 6.2.

Case $v(e) = 0$:
Choose a uniformiser π of $\mathbb{Q}_2$ which does not belong to $\mathrm{N(L^*)}$. Put $x = \pi e$. Since we have assumed that $e \in \mathrm{N(L^*)}$, we get $x \notin \mathrm{N(L^*)}$. Write $x^2 - e = -e(1 - \pi^2 e)$. Then $1 - \pi^2 e \in \mathrm{U}_2\backslash\mathrm{U}_3$ and by Cor. 5.5(iii) we get $1 - \pi^2 e \notin \mathrm{N(L^*)}$. Since $-e \in \mathrm{N(L^*)}$, $x^2 - e \notin \mathrm{N(L^*)}$. Therefore $x \in \mathrm{M}$ and $\chi(x) = (1, 1)$.

Case $v(e) = 1$: Since $s(\mathrm{L}/\mathbb{Q}_2) = 2$, by remark 5.3 we know that $v(d) = 1$. In this case, by Lemma 4.2(i), at least one of the elements $-1, e, 1 - e$ does not belong to $\mathrm{N(L^*)}$. By our assumption, $-1, e \in \mathrm{N(L^*)}$. Thus $-e \in \mathrm{N(L^*)}$ and by Lemma 4.2(i), $1 - e \notin \mathrm{N(L^*)}$.
Put $x = eu$ where u is any unit which is not in $\mathrm{N(L^*)}$. Thus $x \notin \mathrm{N(L^*)}$. Then $x^2 - e = -e(1 - eu^2)$. Since $u^2 \in \mathrm{U}_3$, $1 - eu^2 \equiv 1 - e (\mathrm{mod}\ 8)$. This implies that $1 - eu^2 \notin \mathrm{N(L^*)}$ and thus $x^2 - e \notin \mathrm{N(L^*)}$. Therefore $x \in \mathrm{M}$ and $\chi(x) = (1, 1)$.

Case $v(e) = 2$:
Suppose $x \in \mathrm{U}_2\backslash\mathrm{U}_3$. Then $x \notin \mathrm{N(L^*)}$ by Cor. 5.5(iii). Now, $x^2 \in \mathrm{U}_3$ and $v(e) = 2$ implies that $x^2 - e \in \mathrm{U}_2\backslash\mathrm{U}_3$. Therefore $x^2 - e \notin \mathrm{N(L^*)}$ by Cor. 5.5(iii). Thus, $\chi(x) = (1, 1)$.

Case $v(e) = 3$: Choose an element $x = 2u$ such that $x \notin \mathrm{N(L^*)}$. Then $x^2 - e = 4(u^2 - e/4)$. We have, $u^2 - e/4 \equiv (1 - e/4)(\mathrm{mod}\ 8)$. Since $s(\mathrm{L}/\mathbb{Q}_2) = 2$, by remark 5.3, we get that $v(d) = 1$. Thus by Lemma 4.2(ii), at least one of the elements $-1, e, (1 - e/4)$ does not belong to $\mathrm{N(L^*)}$. By our assumption, $-1, e \in \mathrm{N(L^*)}$. Thus $1 - e/4 \notin \mathrm{N(L^*)}$ which implies that $x^2 - e \notin \mathrm{N(L^*)}$. Therefore $x \in \mathrm{M}$ and $\chi(x) = (1, 1)$.

This completes the proof of the theorem 1.3.

$\square$

7 The irreducible cubic case

Let K be any finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. In this section X will denote a smooth projective surface K-birational to the surface defined by the equation

$$y^2 - dz^2 = f(x)$$

where $f(x) = x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c$ is an irreducible monic cubic polynomial with coefficients in K. In this section we prove Theorem 1.4 which says that $\mathrm{A_0(X)_0} = \{0\}$. As mentioned in section 1, $d \in \mathrm{K}^{*2}$ implies that X is K-birational to $\mathbb{P}^2$ and hence $\mathrm{A_0(X)_0} = \{0\}$. Thus we may assume $d \notin \mathrm{K}^{*2}$. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ be the roots of $f(x)$ in an algebraic closure of K. Let $\mathrm{E}_i = \mathrm{K}(\alpha_i)$ and $\mathrm{L} = \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{d})$.

The method of computation of $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$

Let $\mathrm{M} = \{x \in \mathrm{K} | x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L/K}}\mathrm{L}^*\}$. As in section 2, results of [4] reduce the problem of computing the Chow group to the determination of the image of M under the map,

$$\chi : \mathrm{M} \to \mathrm{E}_1^*/\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LE}_1/\mathrm{E}_1}(\mathrm{LE}_1{}^*) \times \mathrm{E}_2^*/\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LE}_2/\mathrm{E}_2}(\mathrm{LE}_2^*) \times \mathrm{E}_3^*/\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LE}_3/\mathrm{E}_3}(\mathrm{LE}_3^*)$$

$$x \mapsto (x - \alpha_1, x - \alpha_2, x - \alpha_3)$$

Lemma 7.1. *$x - \alpha_i \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LE}_i/\mathrm{E}_i}(\mathrm{LE}_i{}^*)$ if and only if $x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L/K}}\mathrm{L}^*$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, class field theory implies that $x - \alpha_i \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LE}_i/\mathrm{E}_i}(\mathrm{LE}_i{}^*)$ if and only if $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}_i/\mathrm{K}}(x - \alpha_i) \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L/K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. Since $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}_i/\mathrm{K}}(x - \alpha_i) = x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c$ the lemma follows.

$\square$

Proof of 1.4. By definition of M, $x \in \mathrm{M}$ implies $x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L/K}}\mathrm{L}^*$. Therefore by Lemma 7.1 we get $x - \alpha_i \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LE}_i/\mathrm{E}_i}(\mathrm{LE}_i{}^*)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Thus $\chi(x) = (0, 0, 0)$. Thus $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 \cong \{0\}$.

$\square$

8 The Global Case

Let K be a number field. Let X be any smooth projective surface K-birational to the surface given by the equation

$$y^2 - dz^2 = x(x^2 - e)$$

where $d \notin \mathrm{K}^{*2}$. Let K_v be the completion of K at v and $\mathrm{X}_v = \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{K}_v$.

By the result of Bloch ([1], Theorem(0.4)), $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0 = 0$ for almost all places v of K. We can also observe this directly as follows. At almost all places of K, $\mathrm{K}_v(\sqrt{d})$ and $\mathrm{K}_v(\sqrt{e})$ are unramified extensions of K_v. If $d \in \mathrm{K}_v^{*2}$, then we have already seen in the section 1 that $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0 = 0$. If $e \in \mathrm{K}_v^{*2}$ and v is not a place lying above the prime ideal (2) then, $\sqrt{e}, -\sqrt{e}, 2\sqrt{e}$ are units. Thus by ([2], Prop. 4.7), $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0 = 0$. If neither e, nor d is in K_v^{*2}, then $\mathrm{K}_v(\sqrt{d})$ and $\mathrm{K}_v(\sqrt{e})$ are both unramified quadratic extensions and thus isomorphic extensions of K_v. In this case, by Theorem 1.1 of this paper we get that $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0 = 0$.

Let us recall briefly how the results of Colliot-Thélène, Sansuc and Swinnerton-Dyer ([5]), Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc [4] (see Salberger [13] for more general statement valid for all conic bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathrm{K}}$), which allow one to compute

$\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ from the knowledge of $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0$. For each place v of K, we have a map $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 \to \mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0$ and hence, a diagonal map

$$\delta : \mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 \to \prod_v \mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0.$$

As we have seen above, $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0 = 0$ for almost all places v, the target of δ is the same as $\bigoplus_v \mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0$. The exactness of the following sequence is proved in ([6], Sec. 8)

$$0 \to \text{Ш}^1(\mathrm{K},\mathrm{S}) \to \mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0 \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigoplus_v \mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{K},\hat{\mathrm{S}}),\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

in which $\hat{S} = \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathrm{X}})$, a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of finite rank with a $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathrm{K}}/\mathrm{K})$-action, and S is the K-torus dual to $\hat{S}$. The exactness of this sequence reduces the computation of $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X})_0$ to the local problem of computing $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0$.

Let us indicate how the results of this paper contribute to the solution of this local problem, atleast when $\mathrm{K} = \mathbb{Q}$. Let X be a Châtelet surface as above. When v is a finite place of $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0$ can be calculated using results of this paper when $x^2 - e$ remains irreducible and [8],[9] when $x^2 - e$ splits into linear factors over v. One now needs to do these calculations when v is the real place of $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e. $\mathrm{K}_v = \mathbb{R}$. If $x^2 - e$ remains irreducible over $\mathbb{R}$, then either $\mathrm{L}/\mathbb{R}$ is a trivial extension or the extensions L and E become isomorphic. Thus in both these cases, $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0 = \{0\}$. When $x^2 - e$ is reducible, we use results of [3] to calculate $\mathrm{A}_0(\mathrm{X}_v)_0$.

References

[1] Bloch, S.; On the Chow groups of certain rational surfaces, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **14** (1981), no. **1**, 41–59.

[2] Colliot-Thélène, J.L.; Coray, D.F.; L équivalence rationnelle sur les points fermés des surfaces rationnelles fibrées en coniques, *Compositio Math.*, **39** 1979, no.**3**, 301–332.

[3] Colliot-Thélène , J.L.; Ischebeck, F.; L'équivalence rationnelle sur les cycles de dimension zéro des variétés algébriques réelles. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **292** (1981), no.**15**, 723–725.

[4] Colliot-Thélène, J.L.; Sansuc J.J.; On the Chow groups of certain rational surfaces: a sequel to a paper of S. Bloch. *Duke Math. J.* **48** (1981), 421–447.

[5] Colliot-Thélène, J.L.; Sansuc, J.J.; Swinnerton-Dyer, P.; Intersections of two quadrics and Châtelet surfaces. I *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **373** (1987), 37–107.

[6] Colliot-Thélène; Sansuc , J.J; Swinnerton-Dyer,P.; Intersections of two quadrics and Châtelet surfaces. II. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **374** (1987), 72–168.

[7] Coray, D. F.; Tsfasman, M. A.; Arithmetic on singular Del Pezzo surfaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **57** (1988), no.**1**, 25–87.

[8] Dalawat, C. S.; Le groupe de Chow d'une surface de Châtelet sur un corps local. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **11** (2000), no.**2**, 173–185.

[9] Dalawat, C. S.; The Chow group of a Châtelet surface over a number field. arXiv:math/0604339

[10] Fesenko, I. B.; Vostokov, S. V.; *Local fields and their extensions.* Second edition. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **121.** AMS Providence, RI, 2002.

[11] Hasse, H.; *Number theory.* (Translated from the third (1969) German edition) Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[12] Neukirch, J.; *Algebraic number theory.* (Translated from the 1992 German original) Grund. der Math. Wiss. **322** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[13] Salberger, P; Zero-cycles on rational surfaces over number fields. *Invent. Math*,**91** (1988), no.**3**, 505–524.

[14] Sansuc, J.J.; À propos d'une conjecture arithmétique sur le groupe de Chow d'une surface rationnelle.*Seminaire de Théorie des nombres de Bordeaux, Exposé*, **33** (1982).

[15] Serre, J.P.; *A course in arithmetic.* GTM No. **7**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, (1973).

[16] Serre, J.P.; *Local Fields.* Springer-Verlag, 1979 (GTM 67).

Supriya Pisolkar
Harish-Chandra Research Institute
Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi
Allahabad -211019, India.
Email - supriya@mri.ernet.in